



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,621	10/24/2003	Jukka Alve	4208-4143 (Nokia 28764)	7186
27123	7590	07/03/2006		EXAMINER
MORGAN & FINNEGANT, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				SHERR, CRISTINA O
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,621	ALVE, JUKKA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cristina Owen, Sherr	3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment5 filed April 5, 2006.

Claims 1-51 are pending in this case.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13, 14, 22, 36, 49, 50 and 51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraser et al (US 5,835,595) in view of Wiser et al (US 6,385,596).

5. Regarding claim 1 -

Fraser discloses a method of processing information in a communications device, comprising: receiving from a first remote device content encrypted with a content key (e.g. col 5 ln 1-4); transmitting a request for the content key to a second remote device, the second remote device authorized to act on behalf of a provider of the content (e.g. col 5 ln 15-20).

6. Fraser does not teach, but Wiser does receiving from the second remote device an encrypted version of the content key, wherein the encrypted version of the content

key is encrypted with a public key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 34-36); and decrypting the encrypted version of the content key with a private key of the communications device, the private key of the communications device corresponding to the public key of the communications device. (e.g. col 4 ln 10-40).

7. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

8. Regarding claims 2-6 -

Fraser discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step (b) comprises transmitting the public key of the communications device to the second remote device; further comprising: receiving from the first remote device the content key encrypted with a public key of the second remote device; wherein step (b) comprises transmitting to the second remote device the content key encrypted with the public key of the second remote device; wherein step (b) further comprises transmitting to the second remote device the public key of the communications device; further comprising receiving one or more usage rules from the first remote device, wherein the usage rules correspond to the content; transmitting the one or more usage rules to the second remote device; receiving one or more modified usage rules from the second remote device; and associating the one or more modified usage rules with the content (e.g. col 7 ln 25-40).

9. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

10. Regarding claim 7 -

Fraser discloses a communications device, comprising:

a first communications interface adapted to receive from a first remote device content encrypted with a content key; a module adapted to decrypt an encrypted version of the content key with a private key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 In 1-15);

11. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does, a second communications interface adapted to (a) transmit a request for the content key to a second remote device, the second remote device authorized to act on behalf of a provider of the content(e.g. col 4 In 12-14), and (b) receive from the second remote device an encrypted version of the content key, wherein the encrypted version of the content key is encrypted with a public key of the communications device, the public key of the communications device corresponding to the private key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 In 10-40).

12. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

13. Regarding claims 8-12 -

Wiser discloses the device of claim 7, wherein the request includes the public key of the communications device; wherein the first communications interface is further adapted to receive from the first remote device the content key encrypted with a public key of the second remote device; wherein the request includes the content key encrypted with the public key of the second remote device; wherein the request includes the public key of the communications device; wherein the first communications interface is further

adapted to receive one or more usage rules from the first remote device, the usage rules corresponding to the content; and wherein the second communications interface is further adapted to transmit the one or more usage rules to the second remote device; and to receive one or more modified usage rules from the second remote device (e.g. col 4 ln 13-41, col 6 ln 44-50, col 8 ln 40-65).

14. Regarding claim 13 -

Fraser discloses a communications device, comprising: means for receiving from a first remote device content encrypted with a content key (e.g. col 5 ln 1-4); means for transmitting a request for the content key to a second remote device, the second remote device authorized to act on behalf of a provider of the content (e.g. col 5 ln 10-20).

15. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does means for receiving from the second remote device an encrypted version of the content key, wherein the encrypted version of the content key is encrypted with a public key of the communications device; and means for decrypting the encrypted version of the content key with a private key of the communications device, the private key of the communications device corresponding to the public key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 20-40).

16. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

17. Regarding claim 14 -

Fraser discloses a system, comprising: a communications device adapted to receive from a remote device a content item encrypted with a content key (e.g. col 5 ln 1-4); and

Art Unit: 3621

an authorized agent authorized to act on behalf of a content distributor (e.g. col 5 ln 10-20).

18. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does, the authorized agent adapted to provide the content key to the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 20-40).

19. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

20. Regarding claims 15-21 -

Wiser discloses the system of claim 14, wherein the communications device is further adapted to transmit a request for the content key to the authorized agent; wherein the request includes a public key of the communications device; wherein the request includes the content key encrypted with a public key of the authorized agent; wherein the authorized agent is further adapted to provide to the communications device the content key encrypted with a public key of the communications device; further comprising the content distributor; further comprising the remote device; wherein the remote device receives the content item from the content distributor; wherein the communications device, the remote device, and the authorized agent communicate with each other across one or more wireless communications networks (e.g. col 8 ln 40-50).

21. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

22. Regarding claim 22

Art Unit: 3621

Fraser discloses a method of facilitating distribution of content among devices in an authorized agent, comprising: (a) receiving authorization to act on behalf of a content distributor; (b) receiving from a communications device a request for a content key, the content key for decrypting a content item originally distributed by the content distributor; (c) encrypting the content key with a public key of the communications device (e.g. col 5 In 1-25)

23. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does, (d) transmitting to the communications device the content key encrypted with the public key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 In 10-35).

24. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

25. Regarding claims 23-35 -

Wiser discloses the method of claim 22, further comprising: (e) receiving the content key encrypted with a public key of the authorized agent; wherein step (e) comprises receiving the content key encrypted with the public key of the authorized agent from the communications device; wherein step (e) comprises receiving the content key encrypted with the public key of the authorized agent from the content distributor; wherein step (b) comprises receiving the public key of the communications device; further comprising: decrypting the content key encrypted with the public key of the authorized agent; and encrypting the content key with the public key of the communications device; further comprising: receiving one or more usage rules from the communications device, the

one or more usage rules corresponding to the content item; modifying the one or more usage rules; and transmitting the one or more modified usage rules to the communications device; wherein said modifying step is performed in accordance with one or more modification limitations; wherein the one or more modification limitations includes at least one of a temporal limitation, a content type limitation, and a specific content limitation; wherein the one or more modification limitations are imposed by the content distributor; wherein the one or more usage rules are encrypted with a public key of the authorized agent; wherein the one or more modified usage rules are encrypted with a public key of the communications device; wherein step (d) is performed when one or more content distribution conditions are satisfied; wherein the one or more content distribution conditions includes a payment from the communications device (e.g. col 4 In 13-41, col 6 In 44-50, col 8 In 40-65).

26. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

27. Regarding claim 36 -

Fraser discloses a second communications interface adapted to receive from a communications device a request for the content key, and transmit to the communications device the content key encrypted with the public key of the communications device (e.g. col 5 In 1-20)

28. Fraser does not teach, but Wiser discloses an authorized agent, comprising: a first communications interface adapted to receive authorization to act on behalf of the

content distributor; a module adapted to encrypt a content key with a public key of the communications device, the content key for decrypting a content item originally distributed by the content distributor (e.g. col 4 ln 10-35).

29. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

30. Regarding claims 37-48 -

Wiser discloses the authorized agent of claim 36, wherein the request includes the content key encrypted with a public key of the authorized agent; wherein the first communications interface is further adapted to receive the content key encrypted with a public key of the authorized agent from the content distributor; wherein the request further includes the public key of the communications device; further comprising a module adapted to decrypt the content key encrypted with the public key of the authorized agent; further comprising a rules module adapted to modify one or more usage rules received from the communications device; and wherein the second communications interface is further adapted to send the one or more modified rules to the communications device; wherein said rules module is further adapted to modify the one or more usage rules in accordance with one or more modification limitations; wherein the one or more modification limitations includes at least one of a temporal limitation, a content type limitation, and a specific content limitation; wherein the one or more modification limitations are imposed by the content distributor; wherein the one or more usage rules are encrypted with a public key of the authorized agent wherein the

one or more modified usage rules are encrypted with a public key of the communications device; wherein said second communications interface is further adapted to transmit the content key encrypted with the public key of the communications device when one or more content distribution conditions are satisfied; wherein the one or more content distribution conditions includes a payment from the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 13-41, col 6 ln 44-50, col 8 ln 40-65).

31. Regarding claim 49 –

Fraser discloses means for receiving from a communications device a request for a content key, means for encrypting the content key with a public key of the communications device; and means for transmitting to the communications device the content key encrypted with the public key of the communications device (e.g. col 5 ln 1-20)

32. Fraser does not teach, but Wiser discloses a system, comprising: means for receiving authorization to act on behalf of a content distributor; the content key for decrypting a content item originally distributed by the content distributor; (e.g. col 4 ln 20-35).

33. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

34. Regarding claim 50 –

Fraser discloses an authorized agent that provides a key (e.g. col 1-20), where Wiser discloses a system, comprising: a content distributor adapted to transmit a digital

Art Unit: 3621

television broadcast along with a public encryption key of an authorized agent, the authorized agent authorized to act on behalf of the content distributor; and a communications device adapted to receive the digital television broadcast and the public encryption key from the content distributor; wherein the communications device is further adapted to encrypt the digital television broadcast with an internally generated content key, and to encrypt the internally generated content key with the public key of the authorized agent (e.g. col 7 ln 30-45).

35. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

36. Regarding claim 51 -

Fraser discloses an authorized agent that provides a key (e.g. col 1-20), where Wiser discloses a communications device, comprising: a communications interface adapted to receive from a content distributor a digital television broadcast and a public encryption key of an authorized agent, the authorized agent authorized to act on behalf of the content distributor; and a security processing module adapted to encrypt the digital television broadcast with an internally generated content key, and to encrypt the internally generated content key with the public key of the authorized agent (e.g. col 4 ln 40-60).

37. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

38. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

39. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.

40. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

41. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system; contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

COS 06/15/06

Maria Luisa P
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information contained in this document is confidential.

Service Requested: To process using automated information system.